

**ACGME Program Requirements for
Graduate Medical Education
in Gastroenterology**

Contents

Introduction.....	3
Int.A. Preamble	3
Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty.....	3
Int.C. Length of Educational Program.....	4
I. Oversight	4
I.A. Sponsoring Institution.....	4
I.B. Participating Sites	4
I.C. Recruitment.....	6
I.D. Resources	6
I.E. Other Learners and Other Care Providers	9
II. Personnel.....	9
II.A. Program Director	9
II.B. Faculty.....	13
II.C. Program Coordinator	16
II.D. Other Program Personnel	17
III. Fellow Appointments	17
III.A. Eligibility Criteria	17
III.B. Number of Fellows.....	19
III.C. Fellow Transfers	19
IV. Educational Program	20
IV.A. Curriculum Components.....	20
IV.B. ACGME Competencies.....	21
IV.C. Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences.....	27
IV.D. Scholarship.....	32
V. Evaluation.....	34
V.A. Fellow Evaluation	34
V.B. Faculty Evaluation	38
V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement	39
VI. The Learning and Working Environment.....	43
VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability	44
VI.B. Professionalism.....	49
VI.C. Well-Being.....	51
VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation	54
VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care.....	55
VI.F. Clinical Experience and Education.....	56

48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78

Gastroenterology fellowships provide advanced education to allow a fellow to acquire competency in the subspecialty with sufficient expertise to act as an independent consultant. Gastroenterology is the subspecialty of internal medicine that focuses on the evaluation and treatment of disorders of the gastrointestinal tract. Gastroenterology requires an extensive understanding of the entire gastrointestinal tract, including the esophagus, stomach, small intestine, liver, gall bladder, pancreas, colon, and rectum.

Some gastroenterology programs may choose to offer fellows intensive clinical experiences in transplant hepatology. Transplant hepatology is the study of the diseases leading to transplantation, the evaluation of patients pre-transplant, the evaluation and treatment of the post-transplant patient, and the management of the complications of transplantation.

Int.C. Length of Educational Program

The educational program in gastroenterology must be 36 months in length. (Core)*

I. Oversight

I.A. Sponsoring Institution

The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate medical education consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.

When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the primary clinical site.

Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the fellows. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a teaching health center, a physician group practice, federally qualified health center, or an educational foundation.

79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89

I.A.1. The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution. (Core)*

I.B. Participating Sites

A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or educational assignments/rotations for fellows.

I.B.1. The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must designate a primary clinical site. (Core)

- 90
 91 I.B.1.a) ~~A gastroenterology fellowship must function as an integral part of~~
 92 ~~an ACGME-accredited residency in internal medicine.~~ ^(Core)
 93
 94 I.B.1.b) To be eligible for the optional dual gastroenterology/transplant
 95 hepatology (GI/TH) pathway, the Sponsoring Institution should
 96 also sponsor an ACGME-accredited fellowship in transplant
 97 hepatology. ^(Core)
 98

Subspecialty-Specific Background and Intent: While the same Sponsoring Institution typically sponsors both the gastroenterology and transplant hepatology programs, there may be exceptions to this rule. Programs interested in participating in the GI/TH pathway that are not sponsored by the same Sponsoring Institution will need to establish program letters of agreement. See Program Requirement I.B.2. for more information on such agreements. The Committee will consider any exceptions on a case by case basis.

Refer to the “Subspecialty-Specific Background and Intent” box that follows Program Requirement III.A.1.b).(2).b) for a summary of the dual GI/TH pathway.

- 99
 100 I.B.1.c) The Sponsoring Institution must establish the gastroenterology
 101 fellowship within a department of internal medicine or an
 102 administrative unit whose primary mission is the advancement of
 103 internal medicine subspecialty education and patient care; and,
 104 ^(Detail)
 105
 106 I.B.1.d) The Sponsoring Institution must ensure that there is a reporting
 107 relationship with the program director of the internal medicine
 108 residency program to ensure compliance with ACGME
 109 accreditation requirements. ^(Core)
 110
 111 **I.B.2. There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the**
 112 **program and each participating site that governs the relationship**
 113 **between the program and the participating site providing a required**
 114 **assignment.** ^(Core)
 115
 116 **I.B.2.a) The PLA must:**
 117
 118 **I.B.2.a).(1) be renewed at least every 10 years; and,** ^(Core)
 119
 120 **I.B.2.a).(2) be approved by the designated institutional official**
 121 **(DIO).** ^(Core)
 122
 123 **I.B.3. The program must monitor the clinical learning and working**
 124 **environment at all participating sites.** ^(Core)
 125
 126 **I.B.3.a) At each participating site there must be one faculty member,**
 127 **designated by the program director, who is accountable for**
 128 **fellow education for that site, in collaboration with the**
 129 **program director.** ^(Core)
 130

Background and Intent: While all fellowship programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must designate a faculty member responsible for ensuring the quality of the educational experience. In some circumstances, the person charged with this responsibility may not be physically present at the site, but remains responsible for fellow education occurring at the site. The requirements under I.B.3. are intended to ensure that this will be the case.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for fellows
- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of fellows
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience
- Stating the policies and procedures that will govern fellow education during the assignment

131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142

I.B.4. The program director must submit any additions or deletions of participating sites routinely providing an educational experience, required for all fellows, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or more through the ACGME's Accreditation Data System (ADS). ^(Core)

I.C. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must engage in practices that focus on mission-driven, ongoing, systematic recruitment and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of residents (if present), fellows, faculty members, senior administrative staff members, and other relevant members of its academic community. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution has, and programs implement, policies and procedures related to recruitment and retention of minorities underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership in accordance with the Sponsoring Institution's mission and aims. The program's annual evaluation must include an assessment of the program's efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce, as noted in V.C.1.c).(5).(c).

143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154

I.D. Resources

I.D.1. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure the availability of adequate resources for fellow education. ^(Core)

I.D.1.a) Space and Equipment

There must be space and equipment for the program, including meeting rooms, examination rooms, computers, visual and other educational aids, and work/study space. ^(Core)

155		
156	I.D.1.b)	Facilities
157		
158	I.D.1.b).(1)	Inpatient and outpatient systems must be in place to prevent fellows from performing routine clerical functions, such as scheduling tests and appointments, and retrieving records and letters. ^(Detail)
159		
160		
161		
162		
163	I.D.1.b).(2)	The sponsoring institution must provide the broad range of facilities and clinical support services required to provide comprehensive care of adult patients. ^(Core)
164		
165		
166		
167	I.D.1.b).(3)	Facilities for the intensive care of critically ill patients with gastrointestinal disorders must be provided. These facilities should have a working relationship with diagnostic radiology, general surgery, oncology, pathology services, and pediatrics. ^(Core)
168		
169		
170		
171		
172		
173	I.D.1.b).(4)	Fellows must have access to a lounge facility during assigned duty hours. ^(Detail)
174		
175		
176	I.D.1.b).(5)	When fellows are in the hospital, assigned night duty, or called in from home, they must be provided with a secure space for their belongings. ^(Detail)
177		
178		
179		
180	I.D.1.c)	Laboratory Services
181		
182	I.D.1.c).(1)	There must be a procedure laboratory completely equipped to provide modern capability in gastrointestinal procedures. This equipment must include an up-to-date array of complete diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopic instruments and accessories, with esophageal motility instrumentation. ^(Core)
183		
184		
185		
186		
187		
188		
189	I.D.1.c).(2)	There should be a laboratory for parasitology testing. ^(Core)
190		
191	I.D.1.d)	Other Support Services
192		
193		Support services, including anesthesiology, diagnostic radiology, general surgery, interventional radiology, medical imaging and nuclear medicine, oncology, and pathology must be available. ^(Core)
194		
195		
196		
197	I.D.1.e)	Medical Records
198		
199		Access to an electronic health record should be provided. In the absence of an existing electronic health record, institutions must demonstrate institutional commitment to its development, and progress towards its implementation. ^(Core)
200		
201		
202		
203		

- 204 **I.D.2.** The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
 205 ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that
 206 promote fellow well-being and provide for: ^(Core)
 207
 208 **I.D.2.a)** access to food while on duty; ^(Core)
 209
 210 **I.D.2.b)** safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available
 211 and accessible for fellows with proximity appropriate for safe
 212 patient care; ^(Core)
 213

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that fellows function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while fellows are working. Fellows should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when fellows are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued fellow.

- 214
 215 **I.D.2.c)** clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration
 216 capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care;
 217 ^(Core)
 218

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where fellows may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the fellow with the continued care of patients, such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the fellow and the fellow's family, as outlined in VI.C.1.d).(1).

- 219
 220 **I.D.2.d)** security and safety measures appropriate to the participating
 221 site; and, ^(Core)
 222
 223 **I.D.2.e)** accommodations for fellows with disabilities consistent with
 224 the Sponsoring Institution's policy. ^(Core)
 225
 226 **I.D.3.** Fellows must have ready access to subspecialty-specific and other
 227 appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This
 228 must include access to electronic medical literature databases with
 229 full text capabilities. ^(Core)
 230
 231 **I.D.4.** The program's educational and clinical resources must be adequate
 232 to support the number of fellows appointed to the program. ^(Core)
 233
 234 **I.D.4.a)** Patient Population
 235
 236 **I.D.4.a).(1)** The patient population must have a variety of clinical
 237 problems and stages of diseases. ^(Core)
 238

- 239 I.D.4.a).(2) There must be patients of each gender, with a broad age
 240 range, including geriatric patients. ^(Core)
 241
- 242 I.D.4.a).(3) A sufficient number of patients must be available to enable
 243 each fellow to achieve the required educational outcomes.
 244 ^(Core)
 245
- 246 I.D.4.a).(4) Programs participating in the dual GI/TH pathway must
 247 perform 20 liver transplantations per year for each dual
 248 GI/TH fellow in addition to the number of liver
 249 transplantations required for the separate ACGME-
 250 accredited transplant hepatology fellowship program
 251 complement. ^(Detail)
 252
- 253 **I.E. *A fellowship program usually occurs in the context of many learners and***
 254 ***other care providers and limited clinical resources. It should be structured***
 255 ***to optimize education for all learners present.***
 256
- 257 **I.E.1. Fellows should contribute to the education of residents in core**
 258 **programs, if present.** ^(Core)
 259

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that fellows' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners, and that fellows' education does not compromise core residents' education.

- 260
- 261 **II. Personnel**
 262
- 263 **II.A. Program Director**
 264
- 265 **II.A.1. There must be one faculty member appointed as program director**
 266 **with authority and accountability for the overall program, including**
 267 **compliance with all applicable program requirements.** ^(Core)
 268
- 269 **II.A.1.a) The Sponsoring Institution's Graduate Medical Education**
 270 **Committee (GMEC) must approve a change in program**
 271 **director.** ^(Core)
 272
- 273 **II.A.1.b) Final approval of the program director resides with the**
 274 **Review Committee.** ^(Core)
 275

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a fellowship, a single individual must be designated as program director and made responsible for the program. This individual will have dedicated time for the leadership of the fellowship, and it is this individual's responsibility to communicate with the fellows, faculty members, DIO, GMEC, and the ACGME. The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC. Final approval of program directors resides with the Review Committee.

276
277 **II.A.2.** **The program director must be provided with support adequate for**
278 **administration of the program based upon its size and configuration.**
279 **(Core)**

280
281 **II.A.2.a)** At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the
282 salary support required to devote 25-50 percent FTE of non-
283 clinical time to the administration of the program. **(Detail)**
284

Background and Intent: Twenty percent FTE is defined as one day per week.

“Administrative time” is defined as non-clinical time spent meeting the responsibilities of the program director as detailed in requirements II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16).

The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

285
286 **II.A.3.** **Qualifications of the program director:**

287
288 **II.A.3.a)** **must include subspecialty expertise and qualifications**
289 **acceptable to the Review Committee; and, (Core)**

290
291 **II.A.3.a).(1)** The program director must have administrative experience
292 and at least ~~five~~ three years of participation as an active
293 faculty member in an ACGME-accredited internal medicine
294 residency or gastroenterology fellowship. **(Detail Core)**
295

296 **II.A.3.b)** **must include current certification in the subspecialty for**
297 **which they are the program director by the American Board**
298 **of Internal Medicine (ABIM) or by the American Osteopathic**
299 **Board of Internal Medicine (AOBIM), or subspecialty**
300 **qualifications that are acceptable to the Review Committee.**
301 **(Core)**

302
303 **II.A.3.b).(1)** The Review Committee only accepts current ABIM or
304 AOBIM certification in gastroenterology. **(Core)**
305

306 **II.A.4.** **Program Director Responsibilities**

307
308 **The program director must have responsibility, authority, and**
309 **accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and**
310 **scholarly activity; fellow recruitment and selection, evaluation, and**
311 **promotion of fellows, and disciplinary action; supervision of fellows;**
312 **and fellow education in the context of patient care. (Core)**
313

314 **II.A.4.a)** **The program director must:**

315
316 **II.A.4.a).(1)** **be a role model of professionalism; (Core)**
317

Background and Intent: The program director, as the leader of the program, must serve as a role model to fellows in addition to fulfilling the technical aspects of the role. As

fellows are expected to demonstrate compassion, integrity, and respect for others, they must be able to look to the program director as an exemplar. It is of utmost importance, therefore, that the program director model outstanding professionalism, high quality patient care, educational excellence, and a scholarly approach to work. The program director creates an environment where respectful discussion is welcome, with the goal of continued improvement of the educational experience.

318
319
320
321
322
323

- II.A.4.a).(2) design and conduct the program in a fashion consistent with the needs of the community, the mission(s) of the Sponsoring Institution, and the mission(s) of the program; ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The mission of institutions participating in graduate medical education is to improve the health of the public. Each community has health needs that vary based upon location and demographics. Programs must understand the social determinants of health of the populations they serve and incorporate them in the design and implementation of the program curriculum, with the ultimate goal of addressing these needs and health disparities.

324
325
326
327
328

- II.A.4.a).(3) administer and maintain a learning environment conducive to educating the fellows in each of the ACGME Competency domains; ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The program director may establish a leadership team to assist in the accomplishment of program goals. Fellowship programs can be highly complex. In a complex organization the leader typically has the ability to delegate authority to others, yet remains accountable. The leadership team may include physician and non-physician personnel with varying levels of education, training, and experience.

329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346

- II.A.4.a).(4) develop and oversee a process to evaluate candidates prior to approval as program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education and at least annually thereafter, as outlined in V.B.; ^(Core)

- II.A.4.a).(5) have the authority to approve program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; ^(Core)

- II.A.4.a).(6) have the authority to remove program faculty members from participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; ^(Core)

- II.A.4.a).(7) have the authority to remove fellows from supervising interactions and/or learning environments that do not meet the standards of the program; ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The program director has the responsibility to ensure that all who educate fellows effectively role model the Core Competencies. Working with a fellow is a privilege that is earned through effective teaching and professional role

modeling. This privilege may be removed by the program director when the standards of the clinical learning environment are not met.

There may be faculty in a department who are not part of the educational program, and the program director controls who is teaching the residents.

- 347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
- II.A.4.a).(8)** submit accurate and complete information required and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME; ^(Core)
 - II.A.4.a).(9)** provide applicants who are offered an interview with information related to the applicant's eligibility for the relevant subspecialty board examination(s); ^(Core)
 - II.A.4.a).(10)** provide a learning and working environment in which fellows have the opportunity to raise concerns and provide feedback in a confidential manner as appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation; ^(Core)
 - II.A.4.a).(11)** ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures related to grievances and due process; ^(Core)
 - II.A.4.a).(12)** ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures for due process when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, not to promote, or not to renew the appointment of a fellow; ^(Core)

Background and Intent: A program does not operate independently of its Sponsoring Institution. It is expected that the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures, and will ensure they are followed by the program's leadership, faculty members, support personnel, and fellows.

- 371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
- II.A.4.a).(13)** ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures on employment and non-discrimination; ^(Core)
 - II.A.4.a).(13).(a)** Fellows must not be required to sign a non-competition guarantee or restrictive covenant. ^(Core)
 - II.A.4.a).(14)** document verification of program completion for all graduating fellows within 30 days; ^(Core)
 - II.A.4.a).(15)** provide verification of an individual fellow's completion upon the fellow's request, within 30 days; and, ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of fellows who have previously completed the program. Fellows who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.

387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417

II.A.4.a).(16) obtain review and approval of the Sponsoring Institution’s DIO before submitting information or requests to the ACGME, as required in the Institutional Requirements and outlined in the ACGME Program Director’s Guide to the Common Program Requirements. ^(Core)

II.B. Faculty

Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education – faculty members teach fellows how to care for patients. Faculty members provide an important bridge allowing fellows to grow and become practice ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care, professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to teach. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members, through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the individual and the population.

Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of the patients, fellows, community, and institution. Faculty members provide appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty members create an effective learning environment by acting in a professional manner and attending to the well-being of the fellows and themselves.

Background and Intent: “Faculty” refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating fellows. The term “faculty,” including “core faculty,” does not imply or require an academic appointment or salary support.

418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428

II.B.1. For each participating site, there must be a sufficient number of faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all fellows at that location. ^(Core)

II.B.2. Faculty members must:

II.B.2.a) be role models of professionalism; ^(Core)

II.B.2.b) demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, quality, cost-effective, patient-centered care; ^(Core)

429

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

430

II.B.2.c) demonstrate a strong interest in the education of fellows; (Core)

431

432

II.B.2.d) devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill their supervisory and teaching responsibilities; (Core)

433

434

435

II.B.2.e) administer and maintain an educational environment conducive to educating fellows; (Core)

436

437

438

II.B.2.f) regularly participate in organized clinical discussions, rounds, journal clubs, and conferences; and, (Core)

439

440

441

II.B.2.g) pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills at least annually. (Core)

442

443

444

Background and Intent: Faculty development is intended to describe structured programming developed for the purpose of enhancing transference of knowledge, skill, and behavior from the educator to the learner. Faculty development may occur in a variety of configurations (lecture, workshop, etc.) using internal and/or external resources. Programming is typically needs-based (individual or group) and may be specific to the institution or the program. Faculty development programming is to be reported for the fellowship program faculty in the aggregate.

445

II.B.3. Faculty Qualifications

446

447

II.B.3.a) Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments. (Core)

448

449

450

II.B.3.b) Subspecialty physician faculty members must:

451

452

II.B.3.b).(1) have current certification in the subspecialty by the American Board of Internal Medicine or the American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)

453

454

455

456

457

458

II.B.3.c) Any non-physician faculty members who participate in fellowship program education must be approved by the program director. (Core)

459

460

461

462

463

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of fellows by non-physician educators enables the fellows to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the fellows'

knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of the fellow in the basic science of the subspecialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the fellow, the program director may designate the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479

II.B.3.d) Any other specialty physician faculty members must have current certification in their specialty by the appropriate American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the Review Committee. ^(Core)

II.B.4. Core Faculty

Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education and supervision of fellows and must devote a significant portion of their entire effort to fellow education and/or administration, and must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and provide formative feedback to fellows. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum and in assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of competence in the subspecialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program, including completion of the annual ACGME Faculty Survey.

480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502

II.B.4.a) Core faculty members must be designated by the program director. ^(Core)

II.B.4.b) Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME Faculty Survey. ^(Core)

II.B.4.c) In addition to the program director, there must be at least three core faculty members certified in gastroenterology by the ABIM or the AOBIM. ^(Core)

II.B.4.d) For programs approved for seven or more fellows, there must be at least one core faculty member certified in gastroenterology by the ABIM or the AOBIM for every 1.5 fellows. ^(Core)

II.B.4.e) At least one core faculty member certified in gastroenterology by the ABIM or the AOBIM must have demonstrated expertise and a primary focus in hepatology. ^(Core)

II.B.4.f) At least one core faculty member certified in gastroenterology by the ABIM or the AOBIM must have demonstrated expertise in all aspects of endoscopy, including advanced procedures. ^(Core)

503 II.B.4.g) One of the subspecialty-certified core faculty members must be
504 appointed as associate program director to assist the program
505 director with the administrative and clinical oversight of the
506 program. ^(Core)
507

Subspecialty-Specific Background and Intent: One way the gastroenterology-certified faculty members can demonstrate they are devoting a significant portion of their effort to resident education is by dedicating an average of 10 hours per week to the program.

508
509 **NOTE: At its February 2020 meeting the ACGME Board of Directors approved a
510 change to the Common Program Requirements to allow Review Committees to specify
511 support for core faculty members. Subsequently, at its June 2020 meeting, the Board
512 determined that additional review was necessary.

513
514 The Board approved the formation of a task force to examine the principles that should
515 guide creation of requirements that quantify support for the effort required to participate
516 in the educational program of residents and fellows. The task force is charged with
517 reviewing ACGME Common and specialty requirements relating to the duties, functions,
518 dedicated time, and FTE support of and for program directors, assistant/associate
519 program directors, program coordinators, and core faculty members, and making
520 recommendations to the Board regarding these.

521
522 The group began its work over the summer and presented a preliminary report to the
523 Board in September. All proposed specialty-specific requirements related to core faculty
524 members have been deferred in the interim. The Review Committee will develop and
525 propose language for core faculty support after the Board provides guidance on this
526 issue. The requirements related to FTE support for program directors,
527 assistant/associate program directors, and program coordinators may need to be
528 revisited as well.

529
530 **II.C. Program Coordinator**

531
532 **II.C.1. There must be a program coordinator.** ^(Core)

533
534 **II.C.2. The program coordinator must be provided with support adequate**
535 **for administration of the program based upon its size and**
536 **configuration.** ^(Core)
537

Background and Intent: The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

Each program requires a lead administrative person, frequently referred to as a program coordinator, administrator, or as titled by the institution. This person will frequently manage the day-to-day operations of the program and serve as an important liaison with learners, faculty and other staff members, and the ACGME. Individuals serving in this role are recognized as program coordinators by the ACGME.

The program coordinator is a member of the leadership team and is critical to the success of the program. As such, the program coordinator must possess skills in leadership and personnel management. Program coordinators are expected to develop unique knowledge

of the ACGME and Program Requirements, policies, and procedures. Program coordinators assist the program director in accreditation efforts, educational programming, and support of fellows.

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should encourage the professional development of their program coordinators and avail them of opportunities for both professional and personal growth. Programs with fewer fellows may not require a full-time coordinator; one coordinator may support more than one program.

538
539
540
541
542
543
544

II.D. Other Program Personnel

The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective administration of the program. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline.

545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567

II.D.1. There must be services available from other health care professionals, including dietitians, language interpreters, nurses, occupational therapists, physical therapists, and social workers. ^(Detail)

II.D.2. There must be appropriate and timely consultation from other specialties. ^(Detail)

III. Fellow Appointments

III.A. Eligibility Criteria

III.A.1. Eligibility Requirements – Fellowship Programs

All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited residency program, an AOA-approved residency program, a program with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty Accreditation, or a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency program located in Canada. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Eligibility for ABMS or AOA Board certification may not be satisfied by fellowship training. Applicants must be notified of this at the time of application, as required in II.A.4.a).(9).

568
569
570

III.A.1.a) Fellowship programs must receive verification of each entering fellow's level of competence in the required field,

571 upon matriculation, using ACGME, ACGME-I, or CanMEDS
572 Milestones evaluations from the core residency program. ^(Core)

573
574 III.A.1.b) Prior to appointment in the fellowship, fellows should have
575 completed an internal medicine program that satisfies the
576 requirements in III.A.1. ^(Core)

577
578 III.A.1.b).(1) Fellows who did not complete an internal medicine
579 program that satisfies the requirements in III.A.1. must
580 have completed at least three years of internal medicine
581 education prior to starting the fellowship as well as met all
582 of the criteria in the “Fellow Eligibility Exception” section
583 below. ^(Core)

584
585 III.A.1.b).(2) To be eligible for appointment to the dual GI/TH pathway in
586 the second or third year of education, fellows must be:

587
588 III.A.1.b).(2).(a) on a trajectory to achieving competence in
589 gastroenterology by the end of the 36-month
590 educational program based on progress along the
591 subspecialty-specific Milestones; and, ^(Core)

592
593 III.A.1.b).(2).(b) approved by the gastroenterology Clinical
594 Competency Committee, the gastroenterology
595 program director, and the transplant hepatology
596 program director. ^(Core)

597

Subspecialty-Specific Background and Intent: The dual GI/TH pathway is an intensive clinical education pathway that requires accelerated progression along gastroenterology subspecialty-specific Milestones in order to successfully achieve competence in both gastroenterology and transplant hepatology within the 36-month educational program. A fellow’s trajectory and suitability for this pathway will need to be assessed during the first year; therefore, it may not be appropriate to designate a fellow for this pathway before starting fellowship education and training. Education and training in transplant hepatology in the dual GI/TH pathway cannot begin until the second year. In some cases, a fellow may not be ready to enter the dual GI/TH pathway until the third year.

598
599 III.A.1.c) **Fellow Eligibility Exception**

600
601
602 **The Review Committee for Internal Medicine will allow the**
603 **following exception to the fellowship eligibility requirements:**

604 III.A.1.c).(1) **An ACGME-accredited fellowship program may accept**
605 **an exceptionally qualified international graduate**
606 **applicant who does not satisfy the eligibility**
607 **requirements listed in III.A.1., but who does meet all of**
608 **the following additional qualifications and conditions:**
609 ^(Core)

610
611 III.A.1.c).(1).(a) **evaluation by the program director and**
612 **fellowship selection committee of the**

- 613 applicant's suitability to enter the program,
 614 based on prior training and review of the
 615 summative evaluations of training in the core
 616 specialty; and, ^(Core)
 617
 618 **III.A.1.c).(1).(b)** review and approval of the applicant's
 619 exceptional qualifications by the GMEC; and,
 620 ^(Core)
 621
 622 **III.A.1.c).(1).(c)** verification of Educational Commission for
 623 Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG)
 624 certification. ^(Core)
 625
 626 **III.A.1.c).(2)** Applicants accepted through this exception must have
 627 an evaluation of their performance by the Clinical
 628 Competency Committee within 12 weeks of
 629 matriculation. ^(Core)
 630

Background and Intent: An exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant has (1) completed a residency program in the core specialty outside the continental United States that was not accredited by the ACGME, AOA, ACGME-I, RCPSC or CFPC, and (2) demonstrated clinical excellence, in comparison to peers, throughout training. Additional evidence of exceptional qualifications is required, which may include one of the following: (a) participation in additional clinical or research training in the specialty or subspecialty; (b) demonstrated scholarship in the specialty or subspecialty; and/or (c) demonstrated leadership during or after residency. Applicants being considered for these positions must be informed of the fact that their training may not lead to certification by ABMS member boards or AOA certifying boards.

In recognition of the diversity of medical education and training around the world, this early evaluation of clinical competence required for these applicants ensures they can provide quality and safe patient care. Any gaps in competence should be addressed as per policies for fellows already established by the program in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution.

- 631
 632 **III.B.** The program director must not appoint more fellows than approved by the
 633 Review Committee. ^(Core)
 634
 635 **III.B.1.** All complement increases must be approved by the Review
 636 Committee. ^(Core)
 637
 638 **III.B.2.** The number of available fellow positions in the program must be at least
 639 one per year. ^(Detail)
 640
 641 **III.C.** Fellow Transfers
 642
 643 The program must obtain verification of previous educational experiences
 644 and a summative competency-based performance evaluation prior to
 645 acceptance of a transferring fellow, and Milestones evaluations upon
 646 matriculation. ^(Core)
 647

648 **IV. Educational Program**

649

650 *The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and*
651 *innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational*
652 *affiliation, size, or location of the program.*

653

654 *The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful*
655 *physicians who provide compassionate care.*

656

657 *In addition, the program is expected to define its specific program aims consistent*
658 *with the overall mission of its Sponsoring Institution, the needs of the community*
659 *it serves and that its graduates will serve, and the distinctive capabilities of*
660 *physicians it intends to graduate. While programs must demonstrate substantial*
661 *compliance with the Common and subspecialty-specific Program Requirements, it*
662 *is recognized that within this framework, programs may place different emphasis*
663 *on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims*
664 *will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for*
665 *example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will*
666 *have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health.*

667

668 **IV.A. The curriculum must contain the following educational components:** (Core)

669

670 **IV.A.1. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's**
671 **mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired**
672 **distinctive capabilities of its graduates;** (Core)

673

674 **IV.A.1.a) The program's aims must be made available to program**
675 **applicants, fellows, and faculty members.** (Core)

676

677 **IV.A.2. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational**
678 **experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to**
679 **autonomous practice in their subspecialty. These must be**
680 **distributed, reviewed, and available to fellows and faculty members;**
681 **(Core)**

682

683 **IV.A.3. delineation of fellow responsibilities for patient care, progressive**
684 **responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision in**
685 **their subspecialty;** (Core)

686

Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

687

688 **IV.A.4. structured educational activities beyond direct patient care; and,**
689 **(Core)**

690

Background and Intent: Patient care-related educational activities, such as morbidity and mortality conferences, tumor boards, surgical planning conferences, case

discussions, etc., allow fellows to gain medical knowledge directly applicable to the patients they serve. Programs should define those educational activities in which fellows are expected to participate and for which time is protected. Further specification can be found in IV.C.

691
692
693
694
695
696

IV.A.5. advancement of fellows' knowledge of ethical principles foundational to medical professionalism. (Core)

IV.B. ACGME Competencies

Background and Intent: The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each subspecialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each subspecialty. The focus in fellowship is on subspecialty-specific patient care and medical knowledge, as well as refining the other competencies acquired in residency.

697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707

IV.B.1. The program must integrate the following ACGME Competencies into the curriculum: (Core)

IV.B.1.a) Professionalism

Fellows must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism and an adherence to ethical principles. (Core)

IV.B.1.b) Patient Care and Procedural Skills

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. (See the Institute of Medicine [IOM]'s *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*, 2001 and Berwick D, Nolan T, Whittington J. *The Triple Aim: care, cost, and quality. Health Affairs.* 2008; 27(3):759-769.). In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician's well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

These organizing principles inform the Common Program Requirements across all Competency domains. Specific content is determined by the Review Committees with input from the appropriate professional societies, certifying boards, and the community.

708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718

IV.B.1.b).(1) Fellows must be able to provide patient care that is compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the treatment of health problems and the promotion of health. (Core)

IV.B.1.b).(1).(a) Fellows must demonstrate competence in the practice of health promotion, disease prevention, diagnosis, care, and treatment of patients of each gender, from adolescence to old age, during health and all stages of illness; and, (Core)

719		
720	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in prevention, evaluation, and management of the following:
721		
722		
723		
724	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(i)	acid peptic disorders of the gastrointestinal tract; ^(Core)
725		
726		
727	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(ii)	acute and chronic gallbladder and biliary tract diseases; ^(Core)
728		
729		
730	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(iii)	acute and chronic liver diseases; ^(Core)
731		
732	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(iv)	acute and chronic pancreatic diseases; ^(Core)
733		
734	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(v)	diseases of the esophagus; ^(Core)
735		
736	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(vi)	disorders of nutrient assimilation; ^(Core)
737		
738	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(vii)	gastrointestinal and hepatic neoplastic disease; ^(Core)
739		
740		
741	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(viii)	gastrointestinal bleeding; ^(Core)
742		
743	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(ix)	gastrointestinal diseases with an immune basis; ^(Core)
744		
745		
746	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(x)	gastrointestinal emergencies in the acutely ill patient; ^(Core)
747		
748		
749	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xi)	gastrointestinal infections, including retroviral, mycotic, and parasitic diseases; ^(Core)
750		
751		
752		
753	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xii)	genetic/inherited disorders; ^(Core)
754		
755	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xiii)	geriatric gastroenterology; ^(Core)
756		
757	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xiv)	inflammatory bowel diseases; ^(Core)
758		
759	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xv)	irritable bowel syndrome; ^(Core)
760		
761	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xvi)	motor disorders of the gastrointestinal tract; ^(Core)
762		
763		
764	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xvii)	patients under surgical care for gastrointestinal disorders; ^(Core)
765		
766		
767	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xviii)	vascular disorders of the gastrointestinal tract; and, ^(Core)
768		
769		

770	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(xix)	women's health issues in digestive
771		diseases; ^(Core)
772		
773	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c)	<u>Fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway must also</u>
774		<u>demonstrate competence in:</u>
775		
776	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(i)	<u>the comprehensive management of patients</u>
777		<u>high on the transplant list and in the</u>
778		<u>intensive care setting with complications of</u>
779		<u>end-stage liver disease, including refractory</u>
780		<u>ascites, hepatic hydrothorax, hepatorenal</u>
781		<u>syndrome, hepatopulmonary and portal</u>
782		<u>pulmonary syndromes, and refractory portal</u>
783		<u>hypertensive bleeding;</u> ^(Core)
784		
785	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(ii)	<u>the diagnosis and management of</u>
786		<u>hepatocellular carcinoma and</u>
787		<u>cholangiocarcinoma, including</u>
788		<u>transplantation and non-transplantation, and</u>
789		<u>surgical and non-surgical approaches;</u> ^(Core)
790		
791	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(iii)	<u>the ethical considerations relating to liver</u>
792		<u>transplant donors, including questions</u>
793		<u>related to living donors, non-heart beating</u>
794		<u>donors, criteria for brain death, and</u>
795		<u>appropriate selection of recipients;</u> ^(Core)
796		
797	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(iv)	<u>the evaluation and management of both</u>
798		<u>inpatients and outpatients with acute and</u>
799		<u>chronic end-stage liver disease;</u> ^(Core)
800		
801	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(v)	<u>the management of chronic viral hepatitis in</u>
802		<u>the pre-transplantation, peri-transplantation,</u>
803		<u>and post-transplantation settings;</u> ^(Core)
804		
805	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(vi)	<u>the management of fulminant liver failure;</u>
806		^(Core)
807		
808	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(vii)	<u>nutritional support of patients with chronic</u>
809		<u>liver disease;</u> ^(Core)
810		
811	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(viii)	<u>the prevention of acute and chronic end-</u>
812		<u>stage liver disease; and,</u> ^(Core)
813		
814	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c).(ix)	<u>the psychosocial evaluation of all transplant</u>
815		<u>candidates, particularly those with a history</u>
816		<u>of substance abuse.</u> ^(Core)
817		
818	IV.B.1.b).(2)	Fellows must be able to perform all medical,
819		diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered
820		essential for the area of practice. ^(Core)

821		
822	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in the performance of the following procedures:
823		
824		
825	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(i)	biopsy of the mucosa of esophagus, stomach, small bowel, and colon; ^(Core)
826		
827		
828	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(ii)	capsule endoscopy; ^(Core)
829		
830	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(iii)	colonoscopy with polypectomy; ^(Core)
831		
832	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(iv)	conscious sedation; ^(Core)
833		
834	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(v)	esophageal dilation; ^(Core)
835		
836	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(vi)	esophagogastroduodenoscopy; ^(Core)
837		
838	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(vii)	nonvariceal hemostasis, both upper and lower including actively bleeding patients; ^(Core)
839		
840		
841		
842	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(viii)	other diagnostic and therapeutic procedures utilizing enteral intubation; ^(Core)
843		
844		
845	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(ix)	paracentesis; ^(Core)
846		
847	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(x)	percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; ^(Core)
848		
849	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(xi)	retrieval of foreign bodies from the esophagus; and, ^(Core)
850		
851		
852	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(xii)	variceal hemostasis including actively bleeding patients. ^(Core)
853		
854		
855	IV.B.1.b).(2).(b)	<u>Fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway must also demonstrate competence in:</u>
856		
857		
858	IV.B.1.b).(2).(b).(i)	<u>the performance of native and allograft liver biopsies and interpretation of results; and,</u> ^(Core)
859		
860		
861		
862	IV.B.1.b).(2).(b).(i).(a)	<u>Each fellow must perform a minimum of 20.</u> ^(Detail)
863		
864		
865	IV.B.1.b).(2).(b).(ii)	<u>the use of interventional radiology in the diagnosis and management of portal hypertension, as well as biliary and vascular complications.</u> ^(Core)
866		
867		
868		
869		
870	IV.B.1.c)	Medical Knowledge
871		

872		Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of established and
873		evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological and social-
874		behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this
875		knowledge to patient care. ^(Core)
876		
877	IV.B.1.c).(1)	Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of the scientific
878		method of problem solving and evidence-based decision
879		making; ^(Core)
880		
881	IV.B.1.c).(2)	Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of indications,
882		contraindications, limitations, complications, techniques,
883		and interpretation of results of those diagnostic and
884		therapeutic procedures integral to the discipline, including
885		the appropriate indication for and use of screening
886		tests/procedures; and, ^(Core)
887		
888	IV.B.1.c).(3)	Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of:
889		
890	IV.B.1.c).(3).(a)	anatomy, physiology, pharmacology, pathology and
891		molecular biology related to the gastrointestinal
892		system, including the liver, biliary tract and
893		pancreas; ^(Core)
894		
895	IV.B.1.c).(3).(b)	interpretation of abnormal liver chemistries; ^(Core)
896		
897	IV.B.1.c).(3).(c)	liver transplantation; ^(Core)
898		
899	IV.B.1.c).(3).(d)	nutrition; ^(Core)
900		
901	IV.B.1.c).(3).(e)	prudent, cost-effective, and judicious use of special
902		instruments, tests, and therapy in the diagnosis and
903		management of gastroenterologic disorders; ^(Core)
904		
905	IV.B.1.c).(3).(f)	sedative pharmacology; and, ^(Core)
906		
907	IV.B.1.c).(3).(g)	surgical procedures employed in relation to
908		digestive system disorders and their complications.
909		^(Core)
910		
911	IV.B.1.c).(4)	<u>Fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway must also demonstrate</u>
912		<u>knowledge of:</u>
913		
914	IV.B.1.c).(4).(a)	<u>drug hepatotoxicity and the interaction of drugs with</u>
915		<u>the liver;</u> ^(Core)
916		
917	IV.B.1.c).(4).(b)	<u>the impact of various modes of therapy and the</u>
918		<u>appropriate use of laboratory tests and procedures;</u>
919		^(Core)
920		
921	IV.B.1.c).(4).(c)	<u>the natural history of chronic liver disease;</u> ^(Core)
922		

- 923 IV.B.1.c).(4).(d) factors involved in nutrition and malnutrition and
 924 their management; ^(Core)
 925
 926 IV.B.1.c).(4).(e) the organizational and logistic aspects of liver
 927 transplantation, including the role of nurse
 928 coordinators and other support staff members
 929 (including social work), organ procurement, and
 930 United Network for Organ Sharing policies, to
 931 include those regarding organ allocation; ^(Core)
 932
 933 IV.B.1.c).(4).(f) principles and application of artificial liver support;
 934 ^(Core)
 935
 936 IV.B.1.c).(4).(g) principles of donor selection and rejection (e.g.,
 937 hemodynamic management, donor organ steatosis,
 938 and indication for liver biopsy); ^(Core)
 939
 940 IV.B.1.c).(4).(h) principles of living donor selection, including
 941 appropriate surgical, psychosocial and ethical
 942 considerations; ^(Core)
 943
 944 IV.B.1.c).(4).(i) principles and practice of pediatric liver
 945 transplantation; ^(Core)
 946
 947 IV.B.1.c).(4).(j) transplant immunology, including blood group
 948 matching, histocompatibility, tissue typing, and
 949 infectious and malignant complications of
 950 immunosuppression; and, ^(Core)
 951
 952 IV.B.1.c).(4).(k) indications, contraindications, limitations,
 953 complications, alternatives, and techniques of
 954 native and allograft biopsies and non-invasive
 955 methods of fibrosis assessment. ^(Core)
 956

957 **IV.B.1.d)**

Practice-based Learning and Improvement

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to investigate and evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning and improvement is one of the defining characteristics of being a physician. It is the ability to investigate and evaluate the care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning.

The intention of this Competency is to help a fellow refine the habits of mind required to continuously pursue quality improvement, well past the completion of fellowship.

964
 965 **IV.B.1.e)**

Interpersonal and Communication Skills

966		
967		Fellows must demonstrate interpersonal and communication
968		skills that result in the effective exchange of information and
969		collaboration with patients, their families, and health
970		professionals. ^(Core)
971		
972	IV.B.1.f)	Systems-based Practice
973		
974		Fellows must demonstrate an awareness of and
975		responsiveness to the larger context and system of health
976		care, including the social determinants of health, as well as
977		the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide
978		optimal health care. ^(Core)
979		
980	IV.C.	Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences
981		
982	IV.C.1.	The curriculum must be structured to optimize fellow educational
983		experiences, the length of these experiences, and supervisory
984		continuity. ^(Core)
985		
986	IV.C.1.a)	<u>Assignment of rotations must be structured to minimize the</u>
987		<u>frequency of rotational transitions, and rotations must be of</u>
988		<u>sufficient length to provide a quality educational experience,</u>
989		<u>defined by continuity of patient care, ongoing supervision,</u>
990		<u>longitudinal relationships with faculty members, and meaningful</u>
991		<u>assessment and feedback.</u> ^(Core)
992		
993	IV.C.1.b)	<u>Clinical experiences should be structured to facilitate learning in a</u>
994		<u>manner that allows fellows to function as part of an effective</u>
995		<u>interprofessional team that works together towards the shared</u>
996		<u>goals of patient safety and quality improvement.</u> ^(Core)
997		
998	IV.C.2.	The program must provide instruction and experience in pain
999		management if applicable for the subspecialty, including recognition
1000		of the signs of addiction. ^(Core)
1001		
1002	IV.C.3.	A minimum of 18 months must be devoted to clinical experience, of which
1003		the equivalent of five months should be comprised <u>composed</u> of
1004		hepatology. ^(Core)
1005		
1006	IV.C.3.a)	<u>Dual GI/TH pathway:</u>
1007		
1008	IV.C.3.a).(1)	<u>In addition to the minimum of 18 months devoted to clinical</u>
1009		<u>experience in gastroenterology, a minimum of 12 months</u>
1010		<u>must be devoted to clinical experience in transplant</u>
1011		<u>hepatology.</u> ^(Core)
1012		
1013	IV.C.3.a).(2)	<u>All 12 months of transplant hepatology must include clinical</u>
1014		<u>experiences and appropriate protected (block or</u>
1015		<u>concurrent) time for research.</u> ^(Core)
1016		

1017 IV.C.3.a).(3) Fellows must not begin education and training in transplant
 1018 hepatology in the dual GI/TH pathway until the second
 1019 year of the educational program. ^(Core)
 1020

Subspecialty-Specific Background and Intent: The dual GI/TH pathway is an intensive clinical education pathway that is appropriate for fellows seeking a career in clinical advanced and transplant hepatology. This intensive clinical fellowship may not be appropriate for fellows who prefer to focus on other career interests prior to transplant hepatology education and training, including research or an additional advanced degree. Programs are expected to identify fellows in the first year who may be interested in the dual GI/TH pathway. Faculty and clinical resources will need to be available to support the education of dual GI/TH pathway fellows in addition to fellows in the transplant hepatology fellowship. The curriculum, experiences, and evaluation of fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway should occur in collaboration with the transplant hepatology program director, faculty members, and Clinical Competency Committee. As such, the education of fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway requires close cooperation between the gastroenterology and transplant hepatology program directors. The 12 months of transplant hepatology clinical experience do not need to be consecutive. Programs are expected to notify the ACGME, via ADS, of a fellow's participation in the dual GI/TH pathway at the beginning of the second and/or third year of the educational program.

1021
 1022 IV.C.4. Fellows must participate in training using simulation. ^(Detail)
 1023
 1024 IV.C.5. Experience with Continuity Ambulatory Patients
 1025
 1026 IV.C.5.a) Fellows must have continuity ambulatory clinic experience that
 1027 exposes them to the breadth and depth of the subspecialty. ^(Core)
 1028
 1029 IV.C.5.b) This experience should average one half-day each week. ^(Detail)
 1030
 1031 IV.C.5.c) This experience must include an appropriate distribution of
 1032 patients of each gender and a diversity of ages. ^(Core)
 1033
 1034 This should be accomplished through either:
 1035
 1036 IV.C.5.c).(1) a continuity clinic which provides fellows the opportunity to
 1037 observe and learn the course of disease; or, ^(Detail)
 1038
 1039 IV.C.5.c).(2) selected blocks of at least six months which address
 1040 specific areas of gastrointestinal disease. ^(Detail)
 1041
 1042 IV.C.5.d) Each fellow should, on average, be responsible for four to eight
 1043 patients during each half-day session. ^(Detail)
 1044
 1045 IV.C.5.e) The continuity patient care experience should not be interrupted
 1046 by more than one month, excluding a fellow's vacation. ^(Detail)
 1047
 1048 IV.C.5.f) Fellows should be informed of the status of their continuity
 1049 patients when such patients are hospitalized, as clinically
 1050 appropriate. ^(Detail)
 1051

1052	IV.C.6.	<u>Dual GI/TH pathway:</u>
1053		
1054	IV.C.6.a)	<u>Fellows must have continuity ambulatory clinic experience that exposes them to the breadth and depth of gastroenterology and transplant hepatology.</u> ^(Core)
1055		
1056		
1057		
1058	IV.C.6.b)	<u>Each fellow must participate in primary evaluation, presentation, and discussion at selection conferences of potential transplant candidates.</u> ^(Core)
1059		
1060		
1061		
1062	IV.C.6.b).(1)	<u>Each fellow must participate at selection conferences of at least 10 potential transplant candidates.</u> ^(Detail)
1063		
1064		
1065	IV.C.6.c)	<u>Each fellow must provide follow-up for new liver transplant recipients for a minimum of three months from the time of their transplantation.</u> ^(Core)
1066		
1067		
1068		
1069	IV.C.6.c).(1)	<u>Each fellow must provide follow-up for at least 20 new liver transplant recipients for a minimum of three months from the time of their transplantation.</u> ^(Detail)
1070		
1071		
1072		
1073	IV.C.6.d)	<u>Fellows must gain familiarity and expertise with the management of common long-term problems such as cardiovascular disease, acute and chronic kidney injury, screening for malignancies, and diagnosis and treatment of recurrent disease.</u> ^(Core)
1074		
1075		
1076		
1077		
1078	IV.C.6.e)	<u>Each fellow must participate in the follow-up of liver transplant recipients who have survived more than one year after transplantation.</u> ^(Core)
1079		
1080		
1081		
1082	IV.C.6.e).(1)	<u>This must include at least 20 such patients.</u> ^(Detail)
1083		
1084	IV.C.6.e).(2)	<u>There must be a minimum six-month follow-up period for each patient to ensure longitudinal care of transplant recipients.</u> ^(Detail)
1085		
1086		
1087		
1088	IV.C.6.f)	<u>Each fellow must actively participate in transplant recipients' medical care, including management of acute cellular rejection, recurrent disease, infectious diseases, and biliary tract complications, and must serve as a primary member of the transplantation team and participate in making decisions about immunosuppression.</u> ^(Core)
1089		
1090		
1091		
1092		
1093		
1094		
1095	IV.C.6.f).(1)	<u>The fellows and faculty members in the program must share patient co-management responsibilities with transplant surgeons from the pre-operative phase to the outpatient period.</u> ^(Detail)
1096		
1097		
1098		
1099		
1100	IV.C.6.f).(2)	<u>The program must ensure close interactions and education with an experienced liver transplant pathologist.</u> ^(Detail)
1101		
1102		

1103	IV.C.6.g)	<u>Fellows must observe in one cadaveric liver procurement and three liver transplant surgeries.</u> ^(Core)
1104		
1105		
1106	IV.C.6.h)	<u>Fellows must have formal instruction and clinical experience in interpretation of the following diagnostic and therapeutic techniques and procedures:</u>
1107		
1108		
1109		
1110	IV.C.6.h).(1)	<u>review of native and allograft liver biopsies; and,</u> ^(Core)
1111		
1112	IV.C.6.h).(1).(a)	<u>A minimum of 200 reviews of such biopsies must be done</u> ^(Detail)
1113		
1114		
1115	IV.C.6.h).(2)	<u>the appropriate use of ultrasound localized, laparoscopy-guided and transjugular liver biopsies.</u> ^(Core)
1116		
1117		
1118	IV.C.6.i)	<u>Fellows must have formal didactic instruction in the pathogenesis, manifestations, and complications of end-stage liver disease and hepatic transplantation, including the behavioral adjustments of patients to their problems.</u> ^(Core)
1119		
1120		
1121		
1122		
1123	IV.C.7.	Procedures and Technical Skills
1124		
1125	IV.C.7.a)	Direct supervision of procedures performed by each fellow must occur until proficiency has been acquired and documented by the program director. ^(Core)
1126		
1127		
1128		
1129	IV.C.7.b)	Faculty members must teach and supervise the fellows in the performance and interpretation of procedures, which must be documented in each fellow's record, including indications, outcomes, diagnoses, and supervisor(s). ^(Core)
1130		
1131		
1132		
1133		
1134	IV.C.7.c)	Fellows must have formal instruction and clinical experience in the interpretation of the following diagnostic and therapeutic techniques and procedures:
1135		
1136		
1137		
1138	IV.C.7.c).(1)	Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography, in all its diagnostic and therapeutic applications; ^(Core)
1139		
1140		
1141	IV.C.7.c).(2)	enteral and parenteral alimentation; ^(Core)
1142		
1143	IV.C.7.c).(3)	imaging of the digestive system, including:
1144		
1145	IV.C.7.c).(3).(a)	computed tomography (CT); including CT enterocolography; ^(Core)
1146		
1147		
1148	IV.C.7.c).(3).(b)	contrast radiography; ^(Core)
1149		
1150	IV.C.7.c).(3).(c)	magnetic resonance imaging; ^(Core)
1151		
1152	IV.C.7.c).(3).(d)	nuclear medicine; ^(Core)
1153		

1154	IV.C.7.c).(3).(e)	percutaneous cholangiography; ^(Core)
1155		
1156	IV.C.7.c).(3).(f)	ultrasound, including endoscopic ultrasound; ^(Core)
1157		
1158	IV.C.7.c).(3).(g)	vascular radiography; and ^(Core)
1159		
1160	IV.C.7.c).(3).(h)	wireless capsule endoscopy. ^(Core)
1161		
1162	IV.C.7.c).(4)	interpretation of gastrointestinal and hepatic biopsies; and,
1163		^(Core)
1164		
1165	IV.C.7.c).(5)	motility studies, including esophageal motility/pH studies.
1166		^(Core)
1167		
1168	IV.C.7.d)	Fellows must have exposure to and clinical experience in the
1169		performance of gastrointestinal motility studies and 24-hour pH
1170		monitoring. ^(Core)
1171		
1172	IV.C.8.	The core curriculum must include a didactic program based upon the core
1173		knowledge content in the subspecialty area. ^(Core)
1174		
1175	IV.C.8.a)	<u>The core curriculum for fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway must</u>
1176		<u>include a didactic program based upon the core knowledge</u>
1177		<u>content of transplant hepatology in addition to the didactic</u>
1178		<u>program based upon the core knowledge content in</u>
1179		<u>gastroenterology.</u> ^(Core)
1180		
1181	IV.C.8.b)	The program must afford each fellow an <u>Fellows must have the</u>
1182		opportunity to review topics covered in conferences that he or she
1183		<u>was they were</u> unable to attend. ^(Detail)
1184		
1185	IV.C.8.c)	Fellows must participate in clinical case conferences, journal
1186		clubs, research conferences, and morbidity and mortality or quality
1187		improvement conferences. ^(Detail)
1188		
1189	IV.C.8.d)	All core conferences must have at least one faculty member
1190		present, and must be scheduled as to ensure peer-peer and peer-
1191		faculty interaction. ^(Detail)
1192		
1193	IV.C.9.	Patient-based teaching must include direct interaction between fellows
1194		and faculty members, bedside teaching, discussion of pathophysiology,
1195		and the use of current evidence in diagnostic and therapeutic decisions.
1196		^(Core)
1197		
1198		The teaching must be:
1199		
1200	IV.C.9.a)	formally conducted on all inpatient, outpatient, and consultative
1201		services; and, ^(Detail)
1202		
1203	IV.C.9.b)	conducted with a frequency and duration that ensures a
1204		meaningful and continuous teaching relationship between the

1205 assigned supervising faculty member(s) and fellows. ^(Detail)

1206

1207 IV.C.10. Fellows must receive instruction in practice management relevant to
1208 gastroenterology. ^(Detail)

1209

1210 IV.C.10.a) Fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway must be instructed in practice
1211 management relevant to transplant hepatology in addition to
1212 gastroenterology. ^(Detail)

1213

1214 **IV.D. Scholarship**

1215

1216 *Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic*
1217 *scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically,*
1218 *evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and*
1219 *practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an*
1220 *environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through fellow*
1221 *participation in scholarly activities as defined in the subspecialty-specific*
1222 *Program Requirements. Scholarly activities may include discovery,*
1223 *integration, application, and teaching.*

1224

1225 *The ACGME recognizes the diversity of fellowships and anticipates that*
1226 *programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians,*
1227 *scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will*
1228 *reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves.*
1229 *For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on*
1230 *quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other*
1231 *programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical*
1232 *research as the focus for scholarship.*

1233

1234 **IV.D.1. Program Responsibilities**

1235

1236 **IV.D.1.a) The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly**
1237 **activities, consistent with its mission(s) and aims.** ^(Core)

1238

1239 **IV.D.1.b) The program in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution,**
1240 **must allocate adequate resources to facilitate fellow and**
1241 **faculty involvement in scholarly activities.** ^(Core)

1242

1243 **IV.D.2. Faculty Scholarly Activity**

1244

1245 **IV.D.2.a) Among their scholarly activity, programs must demonstrate**
1246 **accomplishments in at least three of the following domains:**
1247 ^(Core)

1248

- 1249 • **Research in basic science, education, translational**
- 1250 **science, patient care, or population health**
- 1251 • **Peer-reviewed grants**
- 1252 • **Quality improvement and/or patient safety initiatives**
- 1253 • **Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, review articles,**
- 1254 **chapters in medical textbooks, or case reports**

- 1255 • Creation of curricula, evaluation tools, didactic
- 1256 educational activities, or electronic educational
- 1257 materials
- 1258 • Contribution to professional committees, educational
- 1259 organizations, or editorial boards
- 1260 • Innovations in education

1261 **IV.D.2.b)** The program must demonstrate dissemination of scholarly

1262 activity within and external to the program by the following

1263 methods:

1264

1265

Background and Intent: For the purposes of education, metrics of scholarly activity represent one of the surrogates for the program’s effectiveness in the creation of an environment of inquiry that advances the fellows’ scholarly approach to patient care. The Review Committee will evaluate the dissemination of scholarship for the program as a whole, not for individual faculty members, for a five-year interval, for both core and non-core faculty members, with the goal of assessing the effectiveness of the creation of such an environment. The ACGME recognizes that there may be differences in scholarship requirements between different specialties and between residencies and fellowships in the same specialty.

1266

1267 **IV.D.2.b).(1)** faculty participation in grand rounds, posters,

1268 workshops, quality improvement presentations,

1269 podium presentations, grant leadership, non-peer-

1270 reviewed print/electronic resources, articles or

1271 publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars,

1272 service on professional committees, or serving as a

1273 journal reviewer, journal editorial board member, or

1274 editor. ^{(Outcome)‡}

1275

1276 **IV.D.2.b).(1).(a)** At least 50 percent of the core faculty members

1277 who are certified in the subspecialty by the ABIM or

1278 AOBIM (see II.B.4.c)-d) must annually engage in a

1279 variety of scholarly activities, as listed in Program

1280 Requirement IV.D.2.b).(1). ^(Core)

1281

1282 **IV.D.3. Fellow Scholarly Activity**

1283

1284 **IV.D.3.a)** While in the program, at least 50 percent of the program’s fellows

1285 must have engaged in more than one of the following scholarly

1286 activities: participation in grand rounds, posters, workshops,

1287 quality improvement presentations, podium presentations, grant

1288 leadership, non-peer-reviewed print/electronic resources, articles

1289 or publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars, service on

1290 professional committees, or serving as a journal reviewer, journal

1291 editorial board member, or editor. ^(Outcome)

1292

1293 **IV.D.3.b)** ~~The majority of fellows must demonstrate evidence of scholarship~~

1294 ~~conducted during the fellowship. ^(Outcome)~~

1295

- 1296 This should be achieved through one or more of the following:
 1297
 1298 IV.D.3.b).(1) publication of articles, book chapters, abstracts or case
 1299 reports in peer-reviewed journals; ^(Detail)
 1300
 1301 IV.D.3.b).(2) publication of peer-reviewed performance improvement or
 1302 education research; ^(Detail)
 1303
 1304 IV.D.3.b).(3) peer-reviewed funding; or, ^(Detail)
 1305
 1306 IV.D.3.b).(4) peer-reviewed abstracts presented at regional, state or
 1307 national specialty meetings. ^(Detail)
 1308
 1309 **V. Evaluation**
 1310
 1311 **V.A. Fellow Evaluation**
 1312
 1313 **V.A.1. Feedback and Evaluation**
 1314

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one’s performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower fellows to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and self-reflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is *monitoring fellow learning* and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by fellows to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- fellows identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work
- program directors and faculty members recognize where fellows are struggling and address problems immediately

Summative evaluation is *evaluating a fellow’s learning* by comparing the fellows against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when fellows or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the fellowship program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a new specialist to one with growing subspecialty expertise.

- 1315
 1316 **V.A.1.a) Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and**
 1317 **frequently provide feedback on fellow performance during**
 1318 **each rotation or similar educational assignment. ^(Core)**
 1319

1320	V.A.1.a).(1)	The faculty must discuss this evaluation with each fellow at the completion of each assignment. ^(Core)
1321		
1322		
1323	V.A.1.a).(2)	Assessment of procedural competence should include a formal evaluation process and not be based solely on a minimum number of procedures performed. ^(Detail)
1324		
1325		
1326		
1327	V.A.1.a).(3)	<u>Dual GI/TH pathway:</u>
1328		
1329	V.A.1.a).(3).(a)	<u>Evaluation of performance must include evaluation of competence in transplant hepatology in addition to gastroenterology, including progress along the subspecialty-specific Milestones for each specialty independently.</u> ^(Core)
1330		
1331		
1332		
1333		
1334		
1335	V.A.1.a).(3).(b)	<u>The gastroenterology program director must obtain input from the transplant hepatology program director and transplant hepatology Clinical Competency Committee to assist with evaluation of fellows.</u> ^(Core)
1336		
1337		
1338		
1339		
1340		
1341	V.A.1.a).(3).(c)	<u>The summative evaluation must include each fellow's readiness to participate or continue in the dual GI/TH pathway, if applicable.</u> ^(Core)
1342		
1343		
1344		
1345	V.A.1.a).(3).(d)	<u>The gastroenterology program director must obtain input from the transplant hepatology program director to provide a final evaluation for each fellow upon completion of the program.</u> ^(Core)
1346		
1347		
1348		
1349		
1350	V.A.1.a).(3).(e)	<u>The final evaluation of fellows must:</u>
1351		
1352	V.A.1.a).(3).(e).(i)	<u>verify that the fellow has demonstrated the knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to enter autonomous practice in transplant hepatology and gastroenterology; and,</u> ^(Core)
1353		
1354		
1355		
1356		
1357	V.A.1.a).(3).(e).(ii)	<u>consider recommendations from both transplant hepatology and gastroenterology Clinical Competency Committees.</u> ^(Core)
1358		
1359		
1360		
1361	V.A.1.a).(3).(f)	<u>The Clinical Competency Committee must obtain input from the transplant hepatology program director and transplant hepatology Clinical Competency Committee to determine each fellow's progress on achievement of the subspecialty-specific Milestones in transplant hepatology and to advise the program director regarding each fellow's progress.</u> ^(Core)
1362		
1363		
1364		
1365		
1366		
1367		
1368		
1369		

1370 V.A.1.a).(3).(g) The fellows should evaluate transplant hepatology
1371 faculty members as relates to the transplant
1372 hepatology educational program. ^(Detail)
1373

Subspecialty-Specific Background and Intent: Due to the unique nature of education and training in two specialties, the evaluation of fellows in the dual GI/TH pathway should occur in collaboration with the transplant hepatology fellowship program director, faculty members, and Clinical Competency Committee. The gastroenterology program director and Clinical Competency Committee will obtain input from the transplant hepatology program director and Clinical Competency Committee to determine the progress of each dual GI/TH fellow in transplant hepatology based on achievement of the subspecialty-specific Milestones. This should include broad input from multiple evaluators, including transplant nurses, transplant social workers, and transplant surgeons. This assessment should be in addition to the assessment of progress toward the unsupervised practice of gastroenterology. The annual summative evaluation should determine if a fellow is ready to participate or continue in the dual GI/TH pathway. The dual GI/TH fellow also should have the opportunity to evaluate transplant hepatology faculty members in addition to gastroenterology faculty members.

1374 **Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Fellows require feedback from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for fellows who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.**

1375
1376 **V.A.1.b) Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the**
1377 **assignment.** ^(Core)
1378
1379 **V.A.1.b).(1) For block rotations of greater than three months in**
1380 **duration, evaluation must be documented at least**
1381 **every three months.** ^(Core)
1382
1383 **V.A.1.b).(2) Longitudinal experiences such as continuity clinic in**
1384 **the context of other clinical responsibilities must be**
1385 **evaluated at least every three months and at**
1386 **completion.** ^(Core)
1387
1388 **V.A.1.c) The program must provide an objective performance**
1389 **evaluation based on the Competencies and the subspecialty-**
1390 **specific Milestones, and must:** ^(Core)
1391
1392 **V.A.1.c).(1) use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers,**
1393 **patients, self, and other professional staff members);**
1394 **and,** ^(Core)
1395
1396 **V.A.1.c).(2) provide that information to the Clinical Competency**
1397 **Committee for its synthesis of progressive fellow**
1398 **performance and improvement toward unsupervised**
1399 **practice.** ^(Core)
1400

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice in a subspecialty is documented by the subspecialty-specific Milestones evaluation during fellowship. These Milestones detail the progress of a fellow in attaining skill in each competency domain. It is expected that the most growth in fellowship education occurs in patient care and medical knowledge, while the other four domains of competency must be ensured in the context of the subspecialty. They are developed by a subspecialty group and allow evaluation based on observable behaviors. The Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. This may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific fellow.

- 1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
- V.A.1.d)** The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must:
- V.A.1.d).(1)** meet with and review with each fellow their documented semi-annual evaluation of performance, including progress along the subspecialty-specific Milestones. (Core)
- V.A.1.d).(2)** assist fellows in developing individualized learning plans to capitalize on their strengths and identify areas for growth; and, (Core)
- V.A.1.d).(3)** develop plans for fellows failing to progress, following institutional policies and procedures. (Core)

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a fellow's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Fellows should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, fellows should develop an individualized learning plan.

Fellows who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the fellow, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the fellow. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of fellow progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

- 1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
- V.A.1.e)** At least annually, there must be a summative evaluation of each fellow that includes their readiness to progress to the next year of the program, if applicable. (Core)
- V.A.1.f)** The evaluations of a fellow's performance must be accessible for review by the fellow. (Core)

1425	V.A.2.	Final Evaluation
1426		
1427	V.A.2.a)	The program director must provide a final evaluation for each fellow upon completion of the program. ^(Core)
1428		
1429		
1430	V.A.2.a).(1)	The subspecialty-specific Milestones, and when applicable the subspecialty-specific Case Logs, must be used as tools to ensure fellows are able to engage in autonomous practice upon completion of the program. ^(Core)
1431		
1432		
1433		
1434		
1435		
1436	V.A.2.a).(2)	The final evaluation must:
1437		
1438	V.A.2.a).(2).(a)	become part of the fellow’s permanent record maintained by the institution, and must be accessible for review by the fellow in accordance with institutional policy; ^(Core)
1439		
1440		
1441		
1442		
1443	V.A.2.a).(2).(b)	verify that the fellow has demonstrated the knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to enter autonomous practice; ^(Core)
1444		
1445		
1446		
1447	V.A.2.a).(2).(c)	consider recommendations from the Clinical Competency Committee; and, ^(Core)
1448		
1449		
1450	V.A.2.a).(2).(d)	be shared with the fellow upon completion of the program. ^(Core)
1451		
1452		
1453	V.A.3.	A Clinical Competency Committee must be appointed by the program director. ^(Core)
1454		
1455		
1456	V.A.3.a)	At a minimum the Clinical Competency Committee must include three members, at least one of whom is a core faculty member. Members must be faculty members from the same program or other programs, or other health professionals who have extensive contact and experience with the program’s fellows. ^(Core)
1457		
1458		
1459		
1460		
1461		
1462		
1463	V.A.3.b)	The Clinical Competency Committee must:
1464		
1465	V.A.3.b).(1)	review all fellow evaluations at least semi-annually; ^(Core)
1466		
1467		
1468	V.A.3.b).(2)	determine each fellow’s progress on achievement of the subspecialty-specific Milestones; and, ^(Core)
1469		
1470		
1471	V.A.3.b).(3)	meet prior to the fellows’ semi-annual evaluations and advise the program director regarding each fellow’s progress. ^(Core)
1472		
1473		
1474		
1475	V.B.	Faculty Evaluation

1476
1477
1478
1479
1480

- V.B.1. The program must have a process to evaluate each faculty member's performance as it relates to the educational program at least annually. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the education program and for whom delivers it. While the term faculty may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to fellowship program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a strong commitment to the fellow and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with fellows desire feedback on their education, clinical care, and research. If a faculty member does not interact with fellows, feedback is not required. With regard to the diverse operating environments and configurations, the fellowship program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the fellows in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496

- V.B.1.a) This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational program, participation in faculty development related to their skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism, and scholarly activities. (Core)**
- V.B.1.b) This evaluation must include written, confidential evaluations by the fellows. (Core)**
- V.B.2. Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least annually. (Core)**
- V.B.3. Results of the faculty educational evaluations should be incorporated into program-wide faculty development plans. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the fellows' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

1497
1498
1499
1500
1501

- V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement**
- V.C.1. The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program**

- 1502 **Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement**
 1503 **process.** ^(Core)
 1504
 1505 **V.C.1.a)** **The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at**
 1506 **least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a**
 1507 **core faculty member, and at least one fellow.** ^(Core)
 1508
 1509 **V.C.1.b)** **Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include:**
 1510
 1511 **V.C.1.b).(1)** **acting as an advisor to the program director, through**
 1512 **program oversight;** ^(Core)
 1513
 1514 **V.C.1.b).(2)** **review of the program's self-determined goals and**
 1515 **progress toward meeting them;** ^(Core)
 1516
 1517 **V.C.1.b).(3)** **guiding ongoing program improvement, including**
 1518 **development of new goals, based upon outcomes;**
 1519 **and,** ^(Core)
 1520
 1521 **V.C.1.b).(4)** **review of the current operating environment to identify**
 1522 **strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as**
 1523 **related to the program's mission and aims.** ^(Core)
 1524

Background and Intent: In order to achieve its mission and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of fellows and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program's progress toward achievement of its goals and aims.

- 1525
 1526 **V.C.1.c)** **The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the**
 1527 **following elements in its assessment of the program:**
 1528
 1529 **V.C.1.c).(1)** **curriculum;** ^(Core)
 1530
 1531 **V.C.1.c).(2)** **outcomes from prior Annual Program Evaluation(s);**
 1532 ^(Core)
 1533
 1534 **V.C.1.c).(3)** **ACGME letters of notification, including citations,**
 1535 **Areas for Improvement, and comments;** ^(Core)
 1536
 1537 **V.C.1.c).(4)** **quality and safety of patient care;** ^(Core)
 1538
 1539 **V.C.1.c).(5)** **aggregate fellow and faculty:**
 1540
 1541 **V.C.1.c).(5).(a)** **well-being;** ^(Core)
 1542
 1543 **V.C.1.c).(5).(b)** **recruitment and retention;** ^(Core)
 1544
 1545 **V.C.1.c).(5).(c)** **workforce diversity;** ^(Core)
 1546

1547	V.C.1.c).(5).(d)	engagement in quality improvement and patient safety; ^(Core)
1548		
1549		
1550	V.C.1.c).(5).(e)	scholarly activity; ^(Core)
1551		
1552	V.C.1.c).(5).(f)	ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Surveys (where applicable); and, ^(Core)
1553		
1554		
1555	V.C.1.c).(5).(g)	written evaluations of the program. ^(Core)
1556		
1557	V.C.1.c).(6)	aggregate fellow:
1558		
1559	V.C.1.c).(6).(a)	achievement of the Milestones; ^(Core)
1560		
1561	V.C.1.c).(6).(b)	in-training examinations (where applicable); ^(Core)
1562		
1563		
1564	V.C.1.c).(6).(c)	board pass and certification rates; and, ^(Core)
1565		
1566	V.C.1.c).(6).(d)	graduate performance. ^(Core)
1567		
1568	V.C.1.c).(7)	aggregate faculty:
1569		
1570	V.C.1.c).(7).(a)	evaluation; and, ^(Core)
1571		
1572	V.C.1.c).(7).(b)	professional development ^(Core)
1573		
1574	V.C.1.d)	The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the program's mission and aims, strengths, areas for improvement, and threats. ^(Core)
1575		
1576		
1577		
1578	V.C.1.e)	The annual review, including the action plan, must:
1579		
1580	V.C.1.e).(1)	be distributed to and discussed with the members of the teaching faculty and the fellows; and, ^(Core)
1581		
1582		
1583	V.C.1.e).(2)	be submitted to the DIO. ^(Core)
1584		
1585	V.C.2.	The program must participate in a Self-Study prior to its 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit. ^(Core)
1586		
1587		
1588	V.C.2.a)	A summary of the Self-Study must be submitted to the DIO. ^(Core)
1589		
1590		

Background and Intent: Outcomes of the documented Annual Program Evaluation can be integrated into the 10-year Self-Study process. The Self-Study is an objective, comprehensive evaluation of the fellowship program, with the aim of improving it. Underlying the Self-Study is this longitudinal evaluation of the program and its learning environment, facilitated through sequential Annual Program Evaluations that focus on the required components, with an emphasis on program strengths and self-identified areas for improvement. Details regarding the timing and expectations for the

Self-Study and the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit are provided in the *ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures*. Additionally, a description of the [Self-Study process](#), as well as information on how to prepare for the [10-Year Accreditation Site Visit](#), is available on the ACGME website.

- 1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
- V.C.3.** *One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.*
- The program director should encourage all eligible program graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.*
- V.C.3.a)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.b)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.c)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.d)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.e)** For each of the exams referenced in V.C.3.a)-d), any program whose graduates over the time period specified in the requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the program for pass rate in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across subspecialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the performance of the lower five

percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are subspecialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and V.C.3.e) is designed to address this.

1635
1636
1637
1638
1639

V.C.3.f) Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status annually for the cohort of board-eligible fellows that graduated seven years earlier. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: It is essential that fellowship programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their fellows. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from fellowship graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661

VI. The Learning and Working Environment

Fellowship education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by fellows today*
- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's fellows in their future practice*
- *Excellence in professionalism through faculty modeling of:*
 - *the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that supports the professional development of physicians*
 - *the joy of curiosity, problem-solving, intellectual rigor, and discovery*
- *Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, fellows, faculty members, and all members of the health care team*

Background and Intent: The revised requirements are intended to provide greater flexibility within an established framework, allowing programs and fellows more

discretion to structure clinical education in a way that best supports the above principles of professional development. With this increased flexibility comes the responsibility for programs and fellows to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit (unless a rotation-specific exception is granted by a Review Committee), and to utilize flexibility in a manner that optimizes patient safety, fellow education, and fellow well-being. The requirements are intended to support the development of a sense of professionalism by encouraging fellows to make decisions based on patient needs and their own well-being, without fear of jeopardizing their program's accreditation status. In addition, the proposed requirements eliminate the burdensome documentation requirement for fellows to justify clinical and educational work hour variations.

Clinical and educational work hours represent only one part of the larger issue of conditions of the learning and working environment, and Section VI has now been expanded to include greater attention to patient safety and fellow and faculty member well-being. The requirements are intended to support programs and fellows as they strive for excellence, while also ensuring ethical, humanistic training. Ensuring that flexibility is used in an appropriate manner is a shared responsibility of the program and fellows. With this flexibility comes a responsibility for fellows and faculty members to recognize the need to hand off care of a patient to another provider when a fellow is too fatigued to provide safe, high quality care and for programs to ensure that fellows remain within the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690

VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability

VI.A.1. Patient Safety and Quality Improvement

All physicians share responsibility for promoting patient safety and enhancing quality of patient care. Graduate medical education must prepare fellows to provide the highest level of clinical care with continuous focus on the safety, individual needs, and humanity of their patients. It is the right of each patient to be cared for by fellows who are appropriately supervised; possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities; understand the limits of their knowledge and experience; and seek assistance as required to provide optimal patient care.

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to analyze the care they provide, understand their roles within health care teams, and play an active role in system improvement processes. Graduating fellows will apply these skills to critique their future unsupervised practice and effect quality improvement measures.

It is necessary for fellows and faculty members to consistently work in a well-coordinated manner with other health care professionals to achieve organizational patient safety goals.

VI.A.1.a) Patient Safety

VI.A.1.a).(1) Culture of Safety

1691 ***A culture of safety requires continuous identification***
1692 ***of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently***
1693 ***deal with them. An effective organization has formal***
1694 ***mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and***
1695 ***attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to***
1696 ***identify areas for improvement.***
1697

1698 **VI.A.1.a).(1).(a)** **The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows**
1699 **must actively participate in patient safety**
1700 **systems and contribute to a culture of safety.**
1701 **(Core)**
1702

1703 **VI.A.1.a).(1).(b)** **The program must have a structure that**
1704 **promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based**
1705 **care. (Core)**
1706

1707 **VI.A.1.a).(2)** **Education on Patient Safety**
1708

1709 **Programs must provide formal educational activities**
1710 **that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and**
1711 **techniques. (Core)**
1712

Background and Intent: Optimal patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated interprofessional learning and working environment.

1713
1714 **VI.A.1.a).(3)** **Patient Safety Events**
1715

1716 ***Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of adverse***
1717 ***events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal***
1718 ***mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are***
1719 ***essential for the success of any patient safety***
1720 ***program. Feedback and experiential learning are***
1721 ***essential to developing true competence in the ability***
1722 ***to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-***
1723 ***based changes to ameliorate patient safety***
1724 ***vulnerabilities.***
1725

1726 **VI.A.1.a).(3).(a)** **Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other**
1727 **clinical staff members must:**
1728

1729 **VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(i)** **know their responsibilities in reporting**
1730 **patient safety events at the clinical site;**
1731 **(Core)**
1732

1733 **VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(ii)** **know how to report patient safety**
1734 **events, including near misses, at the**
1735 **clinical site; and, (Core)**
1736

1737 **VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(iii)** **be provided with summary information**
1738 **of their institution's patient safety**
1739 **reports. (Core)**

1740		
1741	VI.A.1.a).(3).(b)	Fellows must participate as team members in real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical patient safety activities, such as root cause analyses or other activities that include analysis, as well as formulation and implementation of actions. ^(Core)
1742		
1743		
1744		
1745		
1746		
1747		
1748	VI.A.1.a).(4)	Fellow Education and Experience in Disclosure of Adverse Events
1749		
1750		
1751		<i>Patient-centered care requires patients, and when appropriate families, to be apprised of clinical situations that affect them, including adverse events. This is an important skill for faculty physicians to model, and for fellows to develop and apply.</i>
1752		
1753		
1754		
1755		
1756		
1757	VI.A.1.a).(4).(a)	All fellows must receive training in how to disclose adverse events to patients and families. ^(Core)
1758		
1759		
1760		
1761	VI.A.1.a).(4).(b)	Fellows should have the opportunity to participate in the disclosure of patient safety events, real or simulated. ^{(Detail)†}
1762		
1763		
1764		
1765	VI.A.1.b)	Quality Improvement
1766		
1767	VI.A.1.b).(1)	Education in Quality Improvement
1768		
1769		<i>A cohesive model of health care includes quality-related goals, tools, and techniques that are necessary in order for health care professionals to achieve quality improvement goals.</i>
1770		
1771		
1772		
1773		
1774	VI.A.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must receive training and experience in quality improvement processes, including an understanding of health care disparities. ^(Core)
1775		
1776		
1777		
1778	VI.A.1.b).(2)	Quality Metrics
1779		
1780		<i>Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for care improvement and evaluating success of improvement efforts.</i>
1781		
1782		
1783		
1784	VI.A.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows and faculty members must receive data on quality metrics and benchmarks related to their patient populations. ^(Core)
1785		
1786		
1787		
1788	VI.A.1.b).(3)	Engagement in Quality Improvement Activities
1789		

1790 *Experiential learning is essential to developing the*
1791 *ability to identify and institute sustainable systems-*
1792 *based changes to improve patient care.*

1794 VI.A.1.b).(3).(a)

Fellows must have the opportunity to participate in interprofessional quality improvement activities. ^(Core)

1798 VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)

This should include activities aimed at reducing health care disparities. ^(Detail)

1801 VI.A.2.

Supervision and Accountability

1803 VI.A.2.a)

Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for the care of the patient, every physician shares in the responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate, and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient care.

Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each fellow's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.

1818 VI.A.2.a).(1)

Each patient must have an identifiable and appropriately-credentialed and privileged attending physician (or licensed independent practitioner as specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is responsible and accountable for the patient's care. ^(Core)

1825 VI.A.2.a).(1).(a)

This information must be available to fellows, faculty members, other members of the health care team, and patients. ^(Core)

1829 VI.A.2.a).(1).(b)

Fellows and faculty members must inform each patient of their respective roles in that patient's care when providing direct patient care. ^(Core)

1833 VI.A.2.b)

Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods. For many aspects of patient care, the supervising physician may be a more advanced fellow. Other portions of care provided by the fellow can be adequately supervised by the appropriate availability of the supervising faculty member or fellow, either on site or by means of telecommunication technology. Some activities require the physical presence of the supervising faculty member. In some circumstances,

1841
1842
1843

supervision may include post-hoc review of fellow-delivered care with feedback.

Background and Intent: Appropriate supervision is essential for patient safety and high-quality teaching. Supervision is also contextual. There is tremendous diversity of fellow patient interactions, education and training locations, and fellow skills and abilities even at the same level of the educational program. The degree of supervision is expected to evolve progressively as a fellow gains more experience, even with the same patient condition or procedure. All fellows have a level of supervision commensurate with their level of autonomy in practice; this level of supervision may be enhanced based on factors such as patient safety, complexity, acuity, urgency, risk of serious adverse events, or other pertinent variables.

1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881

- VI.A.2.b).(1)** The program must demonstrate that the appropriate level of supervision in place for all fellows is based on each fellow’s level of training and ability, as well as patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate to the situation. ^(Core)
- VI.A.2.b).(2)** The program must define when physical presence of a supervising physician is required. ^(Core)
- VI.A.2.c)** **Levels of Supervision**
- To promote appropriate fellow supervision while providing for graded authority and responsibility, the program must use the following classification of supervision: ^(Core)
- VI.A.2.c).(1)** **Direct Supervision:**
- VI.A.2.c).(1).(a)** the supervising physician is physically present with the fellow during the key portions of the patient interaction. ^(Core)
- VI.A.2.c).(2)** **Indirect Supervision:** the supervising physician is not providing physical or concurrent visual or audio supervision but is immediately available to the fellow for guidance and is available to provide appropriate direct supervision. ^(Core)
- VI.A.2.c).(3)** **Oversight –** the supervising physician is available to provide review of procedures/encounters with feedback provided after care is delivered. ^(Core)
- VI.A.2.d)** The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility, conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient care delegated to each fellow must be assigned by the program director and faculty members. ^(Core)

- 1882 VI.A.2.d).(1) The program director must evaluate each fellow’s
 1883 abilities based on specific criteria, guided by the
 1884 Milestones. ^(Core)
 1885
- 1886 VI.A.2.d).(2) Faculty members functioning as supervising
 1887 physicians must delegate portions of care to fellows
 1888 based on the needs of the patient and the skills of
 1889 each fellow. ^(Core)
 1890
- 1891 VI.A.2.d).(3) Fellows should serve in a supervisory role to junior
 1892 fellows and residents in recognition of their progress
 1893 toward independence, based on the needs of each
 1894 patient and the skills of the individual resident or
 1895 fellow. ^(Detail)
 1896
- 1897 VI.A.2.e) Programs must set guidelines for circumstances and events
 1898 in which fellows must communicate with the supervising
 1899 faculty member(s). ^(Core)
 1900
- 1901 VI.A.2.e).(1) Each fellow must know the limits of their scope of
 1902 authority, and the circumstances under which the
 1903 fellow is permitted to act with conditional
 1904 independence. ^(Outcome)
 1905

Background and Intent: The ACGME Glossary of Terms defines conditional independence as: Graded, progressive responsibility for patient care with defined oversight.

- 1906
- 1907 VI.A.2.f) Faculty supervision assignments must be of sufficient
 1908 duration to assess the knowledge and skills of each fellow
 1909 and to delegate to the fellow the appropriate level of patient
 1910 care authority and responsibility. ^(Core)
 1911
- 1912 VI.B. Professionalism
- 1913
- 1914 VI.B.1. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must
 1915 educate fellows and faculty members concerning the professional
 1916 responsibilities of physicians, including their obligation to be
 1917 appropriately rested and fit to provide the care required by their
 1918 patients. ^(Core)
 1919
- 1920 VI.B.2. The learning objectives of the program must:
- 1921
- 1922 VI.B.2.a) be accomplished through an appropriate blend of supervised
 1923 patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic
 1924 educational events; ^(Core)
 1925
- 1926 VI.B.2.b) be accomplished without excessive reliance on fellows to
 1927 fulfill non-physician obligations; and, ^(Core)
 1928

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for fellows and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests; routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that fellows may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by fellows routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize fellow education.

1929
1930
1931

VI.B.2.c) ensure manageable patient care responsibilities. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define “manageable patient care responsibilities” as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression.

1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945

VI.B.3. The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. ^(Core)

VI.B.4. Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the:

VI.B.4.a) provision of patient- and family-centered care; ^(Outcome)

VI.B.4.b) safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and adverse events; ^(Outcome)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes that responsibility for reporting unsafe conditions and adverse events is shared by all members of the team and is not solely the responsibility of the fellow.

1946
1947
1948

VI.B.4.c) assurance of their fitness for work, including: ^(Outcome)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of faculty members and fellows to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of faculty members, fellows, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about fellow and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies.

1949
1950
1951
1952

VI.B.4.c).(1) management of their time before, during, and after clinical assignments; and, ^(Outcome)

1953	VI.B.4.c).(2)	recognition of impairment, including from illness, fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers, and other members of the health care team. (Outcome)
1954		
1955		
1956		
1957	VI.B.4.d)	commitment to lifelong learning; (Outcome)
1958		
1959	VI.B.4.e)	monitoring of their patient care performance improvement indicators; and, (Outcome)
1960		
1961		
1962	VI.B.4.f)	accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours, patient outcomes, and clinical experience data. (Outcome)
1963		
1964		
1965		
1966	VI.B.5.	All fellows and faculty members must demonstrate responsiveness to patient needs that supersedes self-interest. This includes the recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of the patient may be served by transitioning that patient's care to another qualified and rested provider. (Outcome)
1967		
1968		
1969		
1970		
1971		
1972	VI.B.6.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must provide a professional, equitable, respectful, and civil environment that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, fellows, faculty, and staff. (Core)
1973		
1974		
1975		
1976		
1977	VI.B.7.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should have a process for education of fellows and faculty regarding unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting, investigating, and addressing such concerns. (Core)
1978		
1979		
1980		
1981		
1982	VI.C.	Well-Being
1983		
1984		<i>Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their own real life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other members of the health care team are important components of professionalism; they are also skills that must be modeled, learned, and nurtured in the context of other aspects of fellowship training.</i>
1985		
1986		
1987		
1988		
1989		
1990		
1991		
1992		
1993		<i>Fellows and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share responsibility for the well-being of each other. For example, a culture which encourages covering for colleagues after an illness without the expectation of reciprocity reflects the ideal of professionalism. A positive culture in a clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares fellows with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their careers.</i>
1994		
1995		
1996		
1997		
1998		
1999		
2000		
2001		
2002		
2003		

Background and Intent: The ACGME is committed to addressing physician well-being for individuals and as it relates to the learning and working environment. The creation of a learning and working environment with a culture of respect and accountability for physician well-being is crucial to physicians' ability to deliver the safest, best possible care to patients. The ACGME is leveraging its resources in four key areas to support the ongoing focus on physician well-being: education, influence, research, and collaboration. Information regarding the ACGME's ongoing efforts in this area is available on the ACGME website.

As these efforts evolve, information will be shared with programs seeking to develop and/or strengthen their own well-being initiatives. In addition, there are many activities that programs can utilize now to assess and support physician well-being. These include culture of safety surveys, ensuring the availability of counseling services, and attention to the safety of the entire health care team.

2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020

- VI.C.1. The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, to address well-being must include:**
- VI.C.1.a) efforts to enhance the meaning that each fellow finds in the experience of being a physician, including protecting time with patients, minimizing non-physician obligations, providing administrative support, promoting progressive autonomy and flexibility, and enhancing professional relationships; (Core)**
- VI.C.1.b) attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work compression that impacts fellow well-being; (Core)**
- VI.C.1.c) evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of fellows and faculty members; (Core)**

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance fellow and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after adverse events.

2021
2022
2023
2024

- VI.C.1.d) policies and programs that encourage optimal fellow and faculty member well-being; and, (Core)**

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise.

2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030

- VI.C.1.d).(1) Fellows must be given the opportunity to attend medical, mental health, and dental care appointments, including those scheduled during their working hours. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Fellows must be provided with time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

2031
2032 VI.C.1.e) attention to fellow and faculty member burnout, depression,
2033 and substance abuse. The program, in partnership with its
2034 Sponsoring Institution, must educate faculty members and
2035 fellows in identification of the symptoms of burnout,
2036 depression, and substance abuse, including means to assist
2037 those who experience these conditions. Fellows and faculty
2038 members must also be educated to recognize those
2039 symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care.
2040 The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution,
2041 must: ^(Core)
2042

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials in order to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance abuse. Materials and more information are available on the Physician Well-being section of the ACGME website (<http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Initiatives/Physician-Well-Being>).

2043
2044 VI.C.1.e).(1) encourage fellows and faculty members to alert the
2045 program director or other designated personnel or
2046 programs when they are concerned that another
2047 fellow, resident, or faculty member may be displaying
2048 signs of burnout, depression, substance abuse,
2049 suicidal ideation, or potential for violence; ^(Core)
2050

Background and Intent: Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, substance abuse, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions, and are concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that fellows and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another fellow or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate access to appropriate care. Fellows and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

2051
2052 VI.C.1.e).(2) provide access to appropriate tools for self-screening;
2053 and, ^(Core)
2054
2055 VI.C.1.e).(3) provide access to confidential, affordable mental
2056 health assessment, counseling, and treatment,

2057
2058
2059

including access to urgent and emergent care 24 hours a day, seven days a week. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073

VI.C.2. There are circumstances in which fellows may be unable to attend work, including but not limited to fatigue, illness, family emergencies, and parental leave. Each program must allow an appropriate length of absence for fellows unable to perform their patient care responsibilities. ^(Core)

VI.C.2.a) The program must have policies and procedures in place to ensure coverage of patient care. ^(Core)

VI.C.2.b) These policies must be implemented without fear of negative consequences for the fellow who is or was unable to provide the clinical work. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Fellows may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and equitably reintegrate them upon return.

2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088

VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation

VI.D.1. Programs must:

VI.D.1.a) educate all faculty members and fellows to recognize the signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation; ^(Core)

VI.D.1.b) educate all faculty members and fellows in alertness management and fatigue mitigation processes; and, ^(Core)

VI.D.1.c) encourage fellows to use fatigue mitigation processes to manage the potential negative effects of fatigue on patient care and learning. ^(Detail)

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares fellows for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation

processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

This requirement emphasizes the importance of adequate rest before and after clinical responsibilities. Strategies that may be used include, but are not limited to, strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106

VI.D.2. Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent with the program’s policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2–VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue. *(Core)*

VI.D.3. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for fellows who may be too fatigued to safely return home. *(Core)*

VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care

VI.E.1. Clinical Responsibilities

The clinical responsibilities for each fellow must be based on PGY level, patient safety, fellow ability, severity and complexity of patient illness/condition, and available support services. *(Core)*

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on fellows. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure fellows function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of fellow well-being. Some Review Committees have addressed this by setting limits on patient admissions, and it is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor fellow workload. Workload should be distributed among the fellow team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117

VI.E.2. Teamwork

Fellows must care for patients in an environment that maximizes communication. This must include the opportunity to work as a member of effective interprofessional teams that are appropriate to the delivery of care in the subspecialty and larger health system. *(Core)*

VI.E.3. Transitions of Care

- 2118 VI.E.3.a) Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize
 2119 transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency,
 2120 and structure. ^(Core)
 2121
- 2122 VI.E.3.b) Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions,
 2123 must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over
 2124 processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient
 2125 safety. ^(Core)
 2126
- 2127 VI.E.3.c) Programs must ensure that fellows are competent in
 2128 communicating with team members in the hand-over process.
 2129 ^(Outcome)
 2130
- 2131 VI.E.3.d) Programs and clinical sites must maintain and communicate
 2132 schedules of attending physicians and fellows currently
 2133 responsible for care. ^(Core)
 2134
- 2135 VI.E.3.e) Each program must ensure continuity of patient care,
 2136 consistent with the program’s policies and procedures
 2137 referenced in VI.C.2-VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may
 2138 be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to
 2139 excessive fatigue or illness, or family emergency. ^(Core)
 2140
- 2141 VI.F. Clinical Experience and Education
 2142
 2143 *Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design*
 2144 *an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with*
 2145 *educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable*
 2146 *opportunities for rest and personal activities.*
 2147

Background and Intent: In the new requirements, the terms “clinical experience and education,” “clinical and educational work,” and “clinical and educational work hours” replace the terms “duty hours,” “duty periods,” and “duty.” These changes have been made in response to concerns that the previous use of the term “duty” in reference to number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that fellows’ duty to “clock out” on time superseded their duty to their patients.

- 2148
- 2149 VI.F.1. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week
 2150
 2151 Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than
 2152 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, inclusive of all
 2153 in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from
 2154 home, and all moonlighting. ^(Core)
 2155

Background and Intent: Programs and fellows have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

Scheduling

While the ACGME acknowledges that, on rare occasions, a fellow may work in excess of 80 hours in a given week, all programs and fellows utilizing this flexibility will be required to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit when averaged over a four-week period. Programs that regularly schedule fellows to work 80 hours per week and still permit fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period are likely to exceed the 80-hour maximum, which would not be in substantial compliance with the requirement. These programs should adjust schedules so that fellows are scheduled to work fewer than 80 hours per week, which would allow fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period when needed without violating the 80-hour requirement. Programs may wish to consider using night float and/or making adjustments to the frequency of in-house call to ensure compliance with the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

Oversight

With increased flexibility introduced into the Requirements, programs permitting this flexibility will need to account for the potential for fellows to remain beyond their assigned work periods when developing schedules, to avoid exceeding the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, averaged over four weeks. The ACGME Review Committees will strictly monitor and enforce compliance with the 80-hour requirement. Where violations of the 80-hour requirement are identified, programs will be subject to citation and at risk for an adverse accreditation action.

Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that fellows are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The new requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work fellows choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent by fellows completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours. Fellow decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

During the public comment period many individuals raised questions and concerns related to this change. Some questioned whether minute by minute tracking would be required; in other words, if a fellow spends three minutes on a phone call and then a few hours later spends two minutes on another call, will the fellow need to report that time. Others raised concerns related to the ability of programs and institutions to verify the accuracy of the information reported by fellows. The new requirements are not an attempt to micromanage this process. Fellows are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual fellow. Programs will need to factor in time fellows are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that fellows are not working in

excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that fellows report their time from home and that schedules are structured to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173

VI.F.2. Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education

VI.F.2.a) The program must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal well-being. ^(Core)

VI.F.2.b) Fellows should have eight hours off between scheduled clinical work and education periods. ^(Detail)

VI.F.2.b).(1) There may be circumstances when fellows choose to stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience and education. This must occur within the context of the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven requirements. ^(Detail)

Background and Intent: While it is expected that fellow schedules will be structured to ensure that fellows are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that fellows may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for fellows to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

2174
2175
2176
2177

VI.F.2.c) Fellows must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and education after 24 hours of in-house call. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Fellows have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this goal, fellows are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183

VI.F.2.d) Fellows must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in seven free of clinical work and required education (when averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned on these free days. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and fellow needs. It is strongly recommended that fellows' preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some fellows may prefer to group their days off to have a "golden weekend,"

meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide fellows with a weekend, or two consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes fellow well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as “one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities.”

- 2184
2185 **VI.F.3. Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length**
2186
2187 **VI.F.3.a) Clinical and educational work periods for fellows must not**
2188 **exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical**
2189 **assignments. (Core)**
2190
2191 **VI.F.3.a).(1) Up to four hours of additional time may be used for**
2192 **activities related to patient safety, such as providing**
2193 **effective transitions of care, and/or fellow education.**
2194 **(Core)**
2195
2196 **VI.F.3.a).(1).(a) Additional patient care responsibilities must not**
2197 **be assigned to a fellow during this time. (Core)**
2198

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in VI.F.3.a).(1) should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the fellow continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess fellow fatigue, and that supervision for post-call fellows is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

- 2199
2200 **VI.F.4. Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions**
2201
2202 **VI.F.4.a) In rare circumstances, after handing off all other**
2203 **responsibilities, a fellow, on their own initiative, may elect to**
2204 **remain or return to the clinical site in the following**
2205 **circumstances:**
2206
2207 **VI.F.4.a).(1) to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or**
2208 **unstable patient; (Detail)**
2209
2210 **VI.F.4.a).(2) humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or**
2211 **family; or, (Detail)**
2212
2213 **VI.F.4.a).(3) to attend unique educational events. (Detail)**
2214
2215 **VI.F.4.b) These additional hours of care or education will be counted**
2216 **toward the 80-hour weekly limit. (Detail)**
2217

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide fellows with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a fellow may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Fellows must not be required to stay. Programs allowing fellows to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the fellow and that fellows are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

2218
2219
2220
2221
2222
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
2228
2229
2230
2231
2232
2233
2234
2235
2236

VI.F.4.c) A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exceptions for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and educational work hours to individual programs based on a sound educational rationale.

The Review Committee for Internal Medicine will not consider requests for exceptions to the 80-hour limit to the fellows' work week.

VI.F.4.c).(1) In preparing a request for an exception, the program director must follow the clinical and educational work hour exception policy from the *ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures*. ^(Core)

VI.F.4.c).(2) Prior to submitting the request to the Review Committee, the program director must obtain approval from the Sponsoring Institution's GMEC and DIO. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The provision for exceptions for up to 88 hours per week has been modified to specify that exceptions may be granted for specific rotations if the program can justify the increase based on criteria specified by the Review Committee. As in the past, Review Committees may opt not to permit exceptions. The underlying philosophy for this requirement is that while it is expected that all fellows should be able to train within an 80-hour work week, it is recognized that some programs may include rotations with alternate structures based on the nature of the specialty. DIO/GMEC approval is required before the request will be considered by the Review Committee.

2237
2238
2239
2240
2241
2242
2243
2244
2245
2246
2247
2248

VI.F.5. Moonlighting

VI.F.5.a) Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the fellow to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational program, and must not interfere with the fellow's fitness for work nor compromise patient safety. ^(Core)

VI.F.5.b) Time spent by fellows in internal and external moonlighting (as defined in the *ACGME Glossary of Terms*) must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: For additional clarification of the expectations related to moonlighting, please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at <http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements>).

2249
2250
2251
2252
2253
2254

VI.F.6. In-House Night Float

Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)

Background and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of night float was removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.

2255
2256
2257
2258
2259
2260
2261
2262
2263

VI.F.7. Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency

Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)

VI.F.7.a) Internal Medicine fellowships must not average in-house call over a four-week period. (Core)

2264
2265

VI.F.8. At-Home Call

2266
2267
2268
2269
2270
2271

VI.F.8.a) Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-third-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one day in seven free of clinical work and education, when averaged over four weeks. (Core)

2272
2273
2274
2275

VI.F.8.a).(1) At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each fellow. (Core)

2276
2277

VI.F.8.b) Fellows are permitted to return to the hospital while on at-home call to provide direct care for new or established patients. These hours of inpatient patient care must be included in the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Detail)

2278
2279
2280
2281

Background and Intent: This requirement has been modified to specify that clinical work done from home when a fellow is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This change acknowledges the often significant amount of time fellows devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-home call does not result in fellows routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on fellow rest and personal time.

2282
2283
2284
2285
2286
2287
2288
2289
2290
2291
2292
2293
2294
2295
2296
2297
2298
2299

***Core Requirements:** Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements essential to every graduate medical educational program.

†Detail Requirements: Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative approaches to meet Core Requirements.

‡Outcome Requirements: Statements that specify expected measurable or observable attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their graduate medical education.

Osteopathic Recognition

For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition Requirements also apply (www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition).